



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,187	04/10/2006	Yuichiro Sugiyama		2561

25944 7590 06/10/2009
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. BOX 320850
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

HU, HENRY S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1796

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/10/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/566,187

Applicant(s)

SUGIYAMA, YUICHIRO

Examiner

HENRY S. HU

Art Unit

1796

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Request for status on 9-6-2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5108)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-10-2006
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

1. Applicants' **Pre-Amendment** and **one IDS** (one page) have been filed so far. This Application is a **371/PCT/JP04/17988** with a Japanese priority at November 28, 2003. With such a pre-amendment, **Claims 5 and 7 are amended; new Claims 8 and 9 are added, while no claim is cancelled.** The improper multiple claim dependency is corrected accordingly.

Examiner **accepts Applicants' one drawing sheet with Figure 1**, while a brief description is shown on page 7. **Claims 1-9 with a total of four independent claims (Claims 1, 2, 4 and 6) are now pending.** An action follows.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1; this is based on the preliminary search done by the examiner as well as by examining the references cited in international search report and IDS filed by Applicants. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted as following: It is noted that four independent claims are marked with an underline and are combined with its dependent claims.

- I. **Claim 1**, drawn to **a monomer** having a formula (1) with **two Tf or trifluoromethane sulfonyl groups (-SO₂-CF₃)**.
- II. **Claims 2-3**, drawn to **a graft copolymer**. Said polymer has a grafting monomer having a formula (1) with two Tf or trifluoromethane sulfonyl groups (-SO₂-CF₃).
- III. **Claim 4**, drawn to **a process of making a graft copolymer of Group II**.
- IV. **Claims 5 and 7-8**, drawn to **a polymer electrolyte membrane**, wherein the graft copolymer according to Group II is processed into a membrane.
- V. **Claims 6 and 9**, drawn to **a different polymer electrolyte membrane**, wherein the monomer of Group I is graft-copolymerized to a base film comprising a fluoropolymer.
3. Where the group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement for unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression “**special technical features**” shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions considered as a whole, **makes over the prior art**. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2,

Art Unit: 1796

although they share the special technical feature, this special technical feature does not define a contribution over the prior art for the following reasons:

4. In view of Examiner's own prior art search as well as the references or articles cited in one IDS filed so far by Applicants, **Claims 1-9** is either obvious or anticipated by following: **JP 2003-272665 to Toshimitsu et al., Angew. Chem., vol. 113 (21), pp. 4201-4203 (2001) to Ishihara et al., and EP 1,375,532 A1 to Ishihara et al.**, each individually or in combination. In summary, these five groups have no common features in the preparation as well as its application since they are structurally different. The scope of the claims, i.e., the metes and boundaries are distinct. Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the inventions, the monomer units having a formula (1) for each group does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore the restriction is appropriate.

5. With respect to the fact that "all five groups are structurally different each other", Groups I, II, III, IV and V was each drawn to fundamentally different subject matter. For instance, Group I is related to a monomer which is in very small molecular weight and is active in polymerizability, Group II and Group III is related to a graft copolymer and its process of making in very high molecular weight and is not active in polymerizability any more, while Group IV and Group V is related to at least somewhat different polymer electrolyte membrane.

Art Unit: 1796

6. In a very close examination, Group IV requires the process of the prepared graft copolymer being “physically” processed into a membrane, while Group V requires the monomer of Group I is “chemically” graft copolymerized onto a fluoropolymer film substrate. The way to construct membrane is different.

7. Although the subject matter from each group may comprise the same monomer unit having a formula (1), its structure, function, property and application are indeed different. The key point is that the individual property of monomer will not show up completely in polymer due to the much higher molecular weight in polymer. They are thereby not equivalent and interchangeable.

8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above shown as different subject matters and the search required for each group is not required for other groups have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not required for other groups have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1796

10. It is noted that no phone call was made to **Attorney James A. Oliff (registration # 27,075; tel: 703 836-6400)** by the examiner due to the complexity on multiple (**five**) distinct groups along with multiple (**four**) independent claims. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Dr. Henry S. Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1103**. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM –5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <<http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Peter D. Mulcahy/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Henry S. Hu/
Examiner, Art Unit 1796

June 5, 2009